

2017 ACUNS ANNUAL MEETING

@ Sookmyung Women's University Seoul, Korea

Saturday June 17, 2017

Panel 3-2

The Next-core of Global Governance Model Unveiled: The Synthesis of Open Globalization and Testing of a Built Intercontinental System

Adewale Muteeu Bakare

MPhil/PhD Researcher (International Relations)

Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria

Executive Summary

Introduction

The Next-core of Global Governance Model

The Results

Conclusion

1.00 Introduction

1.01 The Synthesis of Open globalization Phenomenon



Global Village (Converged Technologies)



Global Union (The Convergence of Civilizations)

1.02 Changing Multilateralism: The EU as a Global-Regional Actor in Security and Peace', EU-GRASP Project under Seventh Framework Programme

Luk Van Langenhove (2009-2012) coined Web 2.0 Metaphor



- University of Warwick (UK), University of Gothenburg (Sweden)
- Florence Forum on the Problems of Peace and War (Italy)
- KULeuven (Belgium)
- Centre for International Governance Innovation (Canada)
- Peking University (China)
- Institute for Security Studies (South Africa)
- Ben-Gurion University of the Negev (Israel)

1.03 The Translation of Web 2.0 Metaphor



1.04 The Bakarean (Unified) World View

- Civilization = Identity (Union) + Value (Market)
- Bakarean World View = Networking of Civilizations for Citizens to make preferred choice of socio-political system, economic system and other values on the basis of survival.

1.05 Conceptual Clarifications.

- **Global Governance 2.0** is viewed within the context of Multilateralism 2.0, as an “all-inclusive method of managing world affairs by a wide spectrum of actors involving the States as well as Regional Organizations and Non-state actors in order to make the world more secured, prosperous, stable and cooperatively peaceful” (Bakare, 2015 ACUNS AM Paper)

1.06 Conceptual Clarifications Contd.

- **Multilateralism 2.0** is defined as ‘a flexible, dynamic, complex and open collective and cooperative action by Regional Organizations (ROs) involving, [state] and non-state actors or civil society actors to deal with common human problems and challenges in a multi-regional and multi-polar space relations’ (Bakare, 2015 ACUNS Annual Meeting Presentation).

1.07 Objectives of the Study

- To propose the Next United Nations System in a form of an ideal Global Union Model;
- To build a world government for a unified world order, sustainable peace, security, justice and developments, and for the protection of environment including cyberspace;
- To test and validate the Unified World System in a built Intercontinental System;

1.08 Statement of the Problem


- The Model seeks to address the weaknesses and failures of the UN System in managing geopolitical and interconnected world affairs.

1.09 Theoretical Framework

- **Bakarean (Unified) World System:** “By logic, it is a world seen as multiple markets with major (diverse) civilizations par continental abstractions forming itself into different Union groups, whose common goal is survival within a socio-political supra-structures called the Global Union (otherwise known as, the Intercontinental System). At international level, the political, economic and social structures of all member States are tied together within their five primary Union groups, and inter-depend as in world star-pentagon relations. While at national level, local States in one primary Union group are free to adopt values from other known Union groups. By so doing, the gaps between the poor and rich states or the north and south, or developing and developed states at all levels of human spheres are greatly reduced if not eliminated completely” (Bakare, 2012:99).

1.10

Theoretical Framework Contd.



The World Star-Pentagon Framework (A World Wide Web Matrix)

1.11 Other Supportive Frameworks & Theories

- The Bakarean Political Economy
- The Unified Identity Theory
- The Grand System and Stability Theory/ Greed Control Theory
- The New Art of Diplomatic Channels Developed
- The Open Global Economy
- The Openist Approach to International Relations

Sources:


- ❑ Bakare, A. M. “The Open World System and Political Economy,” Alternatives Turkish Journal of International Relations Vol 11, No 2 Summer 2012).
<http://alternatives.yalova.edu.tr/article/view/5000150695>
- ❑ <http://acuns.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/Presentation-on-A-Call-for-Multilateralism-2.0.pdf>
- ❑ <http://acuns.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/Full-Paper-on-A-Call-for-Multilateralism-2.0.pdf>

2.00 The Next-core of Global Governance Model


2.01 Overview of Model Development and its Dynamics



2.02 The Engine and Dynamics of Global Governance 2.0 Model



2.03 Global Union Model: The Intercontinental System



2.04 Global Keys

AU = The African Union Group

IU = The Islamic Union Group

AMU = The American Union Group

EU = The European Union Group


ASU = The Asian Union Group

GU = The Ideal Global Union or Future UN

SS = Sub-regional Space

* = States with geopolitical freedom across any of the five borderless Regional Organizations or Open States with Overlapping Value Join/ Exit Abstractions

2.05 A Simplified Network Model for Intercontinental System



2.06 Network Keys

SS n = n^{th} Sub-regional Space/ Network (where $n = 1, 2, 3 \dots n$)

RS p = p^{th} Regional Space/Network (where $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and 5)

SS = Sub-regional Space/ Network

■ OS n = Open State(s) (where $n = 1, 2, 3 \dots n$)



= Network Path/Segment




= International Boundary

Where Regional Space/ Network = Continental Space/ Network; Sub-regional Space/Network = Sub-continental Space/ Network; Inter-continental Space/ Network = Inter-regional Space/ Network; Intra-continental Space/ Network = Intra-regional Space/ Network


2.07

The Intra & Inter-Regional Network Model for Continental Value Exchange




The Inter and Intra-regional Networks for Continental Value Exchange

2.08 The Intra-regional & Geo-regional Network Model for Sub-regional Value Join/ Exit




3.00 The Results

3.01 The New Concept of State(s) in a World of Disparate Regions, Shared and Equal Sovereignties



3.02 Testing of Intercontinental System: The Post-Brexit Phenomenon




Diplomatic/ Geopolitical Route

4.00 Conclusion

- The Global Union Model is valid; as both the core with an attribute of a world government, and a symbol of Global Governance 2.0 that is a more accurate tool to describe, predict, test or understand complex systems or world events via a new study field of Intercontinental Relations; politics, trade, law, diplomacy, development, and economic relations.
 - Continental Empirical Analysis, Regional Integration and Comparative Studies
 - Transforming and reforming Regional and Multilateral Institutions for example; the United Nations, AU, EU, ASEAN, NAFTA, OIC and others toward a unifying world order, peace, justice, security and sustainable global developments
- By amending article IV and Chapter VIII of the UN Charter, allows voting rights, sovereignty and hegemony for Regional Organizations

4.01 Conclusion Contd.



Question and Answer

waleshk@yahoo.com, +234-802-3007337